

Indiana Department of Environmental Management

Page 1

VERIFICATION OF INSPECTION

· TUE		-		
This is to verify that on	eb. 1 1995	un inspection of _		
Gary Developm	ent Co. Inc		was conducted	by the
Hannadaus Wasta Managemen	the Indiana Department of Er t. The inspection was conduct (RCRA), IC 13-7, and rules pro	ed to determine comp	Hance with the	Solid and Resource
undersigned company repres	and concerns noted during the sentatives during the inspect e. Corrections made and verifuction will be taken into considerate.	ion. The company i led during the inspec	is encouraged u tion may still be	cited as
within thirty (30) days of the inspection if they surfaced as a the presention of the Departs	a preliminary summary of the inspection. The summary is result of a more extensive ansment. The company is encoubelieve may be reflected in the	nay identify violationally alysis of the rules or for raged to contact the	ns not noted di irther review of :	records in
IDEM: Printed Name	Signature	Position	Phone #	Date
Robert Blaesing	Roll Bley	EMI	219 881-6712	2/1/9
·				
Company: Printed Name	Signature	Position	Phone #	Date
GARY DEV. CO. IAC.	James 91 Marge &	FOREMAN	219 - 944-7858	2/1/9
	Company Mailing	Address	·	
P.O. Box 605	56			<u></u>
	46406			

DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATIONS Gary Development Corporation IND 077 005 916 INSPECTION OF January 30,1995

The following on-going violations were noted during the inspection. Site conditions have not changed since the previous inspection. These violations are being addressed in on-going enforcement actions.

1.	Page #8 Page #19	40 CFR 265.13(a)1 Gary Development Corporation had not made any waste determinations for leachate from the landfill.
2.	Page #9	40 CFR 265.13(b) Gary Development Corporation did not have a detailed waste analysis plan on file at the facility.
3.	Page #9 Page #10	40 CFR 265.15(a) and (b)2 The Operator had not conducted inspections of the facility for deteriorations, malfunctions, operator errors and discharges of hazardous waste, nor does the facility have an inspection schedule at the facility.
4.	Page #11	40 CFR 265.15(d) The facility did not maintain an inspection record or log of operator inspections at the facility.
5.	Page #12	40 CFR 254.16(d)(1), (2), (3) & (4) The facility did not have any personnel training records at the facility for review.
6.	Page #13 Page #14	40 CFR 265.51 Gary Development Corporation did not have a facility contingency plan on-site available for review by the inspector, nor had the facility attempted to make arrangements with local authorities.
7.	Page #16	40 CFR 265.112(a) & 329 IAC 3.1-14-3 The facility did not have the closure and post closure plan available onsite for review by the inspector, nor closure and post closure cost estimates available.
8.	Page #16	40 CFR 254.73(a) The facility did not have an operating record.
9.	Page #25 through Page #30	40 CFR 265 Subpart N & 329 IAC 3.1-10-1 All or the violations in this part of the report (Landfill Appendix) are being cited as part of an on-going enforcement action between the USEPA and Gary Development Corporation, regarding hazardous waste landfilling activities at the site.